

Oriented, equivariant K -theory and the Sullivan splittings
Kenneth Hansen

**Oriented, equivariant K -theory
and the Sullivan splittings**

Kenneth Hansen

This is part 1 of my Ph.D.-thesis, which I wrote at Aarhus University, Matematisk Institut, in 1991.

It has appeared in Matematisk Instituts Preprint Series, no. 35, 1991.

The two other parts are

The K -localizations of Some Classifying Spaces

The Equivariant K -localization of the G -Sphere Spectrum

The purpose of this paper is two-fold: To study oriented G -bundles and the corresponding K -theory, and to generalize the p -local splittings

$$F/O \simeq BSO \times \text{Cok } J \quad \text{and} \quad SF \simeq J \times \text{Cok } J$$

of Sullivan to the equivariant case.

This paper is divided into 5 parts. We start by recapitulating some essential facts about complex and real K_G -theory, and we study their classifying spaces.

In section 2 we introduce G - SO -bundles and G - $Spin$ -bundles, and we find a connection between these and the 'equivariant Stiefel-Whitney-classes'.

In section 3 we study the space BSO_G in detail, at least when G is of odd order. Results about the λ -ring-structure on BSO_G of Atiyah-Tall and Atiyah-Segal are generalized – here it is necessary to assume that G is a p -group, where p is an odd prime, and that we are in the p -local situation.

In section 4 we study the space SF_G using the equivariant Adams' conjecture, and finally in section 5 we define the e -invariant and prove the Sullivan splittings.

Throughout the paper G is assumed to be finite. All G -Spaces are assumed to have a basepoint fixed under the G -action, and normally we consider only G - CW -complexes, which are finite and G -connected.

I would like to thank Ib Madsen, Jørgen Tornehave and Marcel Bökstedt for many enlightening discussions.

1. Preliminary remarks about K_G - and KO_G -theory

In this section we briefly describe the functors $\overline{K}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KO}_G(-)$ and the corresponding classifying spaces.

$K_G(X)$ is defined in [S68], p.132, as the Grothendieck group of the additive semigroup of complex G -bundles over the G - CW -complex X . The tensor-product of G -bundles gives a multiplication on $K_G(X)$, and $K_G(X)$ becomes a commutative ring. Similarly, we have the ring $KO_G(X)$, obtained by using real rather than complex G -bundles.

$\overline{K}_G(X)$ is the reduced version of $K_G(X)$. It is defined as the subgroup of $K_G(X)$ generated by differences $E - F$ of complex G -bundles, such that for every $x \in X$, the fibres E_x and F_x over x are equivalent $\mathbb{C}G_x$ -modules. Here $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ is the isotropy group.

We define $\overline{KO}_G(X)$, the reduced version of $KO_G(X)$, in the same way.

Remark 1.1

If X is a G -connected G - CW -complex, i.e. for every subgroup H of G the fixed point space X^H is connected, then it follows from the local triviality condition of [L],

p. 258, that the difference $E - F$ of G -bundles over X is in $\bar{K}_G(X)$ if and only if the fibres E_* and F_* over the basepoint $*$ are isomorphic G -modules.

By using an equivariant version of Brown's representation theorem, cf. [LMS], (1.5.11), we see that the functors $\bar{K}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KO}_G(-)$ are representable. We denote the classifying spaces by BU_G and BO_G , respectively.

Proposition 1.2

Let U_1, \dots, U_m be a complete set of inequivalent, irreducible complex representations of G . Then

$$(BU_G)^G \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m BU$$

Proof:

From [S68], (2.2), we recall the isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad \mu : R(G) \otimes K(X) \rightarrow K_G(X)$$

where X is a trivial G -Space. As $\bar{K}_G(X) \cong [X, BU_G]^G \cong [X, BU_G^G]$, and $R(G)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module generated by U_1, \dots, U_m , the reduced version of this isomorphism

$$(1.4) \quad \mu : R(G) \otimes \bar{K}(X) \rightarrow \bar{K}_G(X)$$

would imply the result.

μ maps $R(G) \otimes \bar{K}(X)$ into $\bar{K}_G(X)$: Let E and F be bundles over X with $E - F \in \bar{K}(X)$. Then the fibres E_x and F_x for every $x \in X$ have the same dimension. If V is a complex G -representation, then $\mu(V \otimes (E - F))$ is contained in $\bar{K}_G(X)$, as the fibres $V \otimes E_x$ and $V \otimes F_x$ over x are isomorphic $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules.

On the other hand, $\mu(R(G) \otimes \bar{K}(X)) = \bar{K}_G(X)$: Let $\xi \in \bar{K}_G(X)$. In virtue of (1.3) we can find elements ζ_1, \dots, ζ_m in $K(X)$, such that $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i \otimes \zeta_i$. The fibre of the virtual G -bundle ξ over x is then, as an element of $R(G)$, given by

$$\xi_x = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i \otimes (\zeta_i)_x = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \cdot U_i$$

where d_i is the complex dimension of $(\zeta_i)_x$. As $\xi \in \bar{K}_G(X)$, ξ_x vanishes as an element of $R(G)$, and we conclude that the d_i 's are zero. Thus, the ζ_i 's are contained in $\bar{K}(X)$, and (1.4) follows.

QED

In the real case we have the following:

Proposition 1.5

Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k be $\mathbb{R}G$ -modules, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m be $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules, and W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n be $\mathbb{H}G$ -modules, such that (2.6) in [K] is satisfied. Then

$$BO_G^G \simeq \prod_{x=1}^k BO \times \prod_{y=1}^m BU \times \prod_{z=1}^n BSp$$

Proof:

The proof is analogous to that of (1.2) and uses as input, the isomorphism

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi : \bigoplus_{x=1}^k KO(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{y=1}^m K(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{z=1}^n KSp(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$$

of [K] (5.1). Here X is assumed to be a trivial G -space.

QED

Direct sum of vector-bundles makes $\overline{K}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KO}_G(-)$ into Abelian groups, and we thus get an 'additive' G -Hopf-space structures on BU_G and BO_G . (G -Hopf-spaces are defined in [Br], p.II.10.) We denote BU_G and BO_G with this 'additive' structure by BU_G^\oplus and BO_G^\oplus .

It is also possible to define 'multiplicative' G -Hopf-structures on BU_G and BO_G . For a finite G -CW-complex X we consider the sets $1 + \overline{K}_G(X)$ and $1 + \overline{KO}_G(X)$. As every element in $\overline{K}_G(X)$ and $\overline{KO}_G(X)$ is nilpotent, cf. [S68], (5.1), the tensor-product makes $1 + \overline{K}_G(X)$ and $1 + \overline{KO}_G(X)$ into Abelian groups. By invoking Brown's representation theorem we get the representing G -Hopf-spaces BU_G^\otimes and BO_G^\otimes .

The map $\overline{K}_G(X) \rightarrow 1 + \overline{K}_G(X) : x \mapsto 1 + x$ is a bijection for every G -CW-complex X , and it follows that BU_G^\oplus and BU_G^\otimes are G -homotopy-equivalent G -Spaces. Similarly we see that BO_G^\oplus and BO_G^\otimes are equivalent G -spaces.

For later use we need the following:

Proposition 1.7

Let X be a G -Space. If E is a complex G -bundle over X , then there exists a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module M and a complex G -bundle E^\perp such that $E \oplus E^\perp \cong M$ (where M denotes the trivial G -bundle $M \times X \downarrow X$).

Similarly, if F is a real G -bundle, then there is an $\mathbb{R}G$ -module N and a real G -bundle F^\perp such that $F \oplus F^\perp \cong N$.

Proof:

The complex case is (2.4) in [S68].

In the real case we do the following: $F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a complex G -bundle, and we can thus find a complex G -bundle F_1 , such that $(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \oplus F_1 \cong M$, where M is a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module. Now, F is a direct summand of the underlying real G -bundle $r(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ of $F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with orthogonal complement F_2 . By taking underlying real G -bundles, we obtain the relation

$$F \oplus (r(F_1) \oplus F_2) \cong r(M).$$

Let $F^\perp = r(F_1) \oplus F_2$, and $N = r(M)$

QED

2. G - SO - and G - $Spin$ -bundles

In this section we introduce G - SO -bundles and G - $Spin$ -bundles, and we relate the classifying spaces of the functors $\overline{KSO}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KSpin}_G(-)$ to BO_G . We start by defining the G -spaces BSO_G and $BSpin_G$ as the G -1-connected and G -2-connected cover of BO_G , respectively:

Recall that if $n > 1$ and X is a $(n-1)$ -connected space with $\pi_n(X)$ Abelian, then there is a map $k_n : X \rightarrow H(\pi_n(X), n)$, unique up to homotopy, such that $\pi_n(k_n)$ is the identity map on $\pi_n(X)$. Here $H(A, n)$ denotes the Eilenberg MacLane-space normally known as $K(A, n)$.

In the equivariant case we assume that X is a G - $(n-1)$ -connected G - CW -complex, i.e. for every subgroup H of G we have that the fixed point space X^H is $(n-1)$ -connected. We want to define a G -map $k_n : X \rightarrow H_G(\underline{\pi}_n(X), n)$, where $H_G(\underline{A}, n)$ is the equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane space classifying Bredon cohomology in dimension n with coefficients in the \mathcal{O}_G -group \underline{A} , cf. [El], p. 277. $\underline{\pi}_n(X)$ is the \mathcal{O}_G -group sending the orbit G/H to the Abelian group $\pi_n(X^H)$.

This map $k_n : X \rightarrow H_G(\underline{\pi}_n(X), n)$ is defined as the element of $[X, H_G(\underline{\pi}_n(X), N)]^G$ corresponding to $\underline{k}_n \in [\Phi X, \underline{H}(\underline{\pi}_n(X), n)]_{\mathcal{O}_G}$ under the bijection of [El], thm. 2. Here $\underline{k}_n : \Phi X \rightarrow \underline{H}(\underline{\pi}_n(X), n)$ is given by

$$\underline{k}_n(G/H) = k_n : \Phi X(G/H) = X^H \rightarrow H(\pi_n(X^H), n) = \underline{H}(\underline{\pi}_n(X), n)(G/H)$$

Definition 2.1

Let

$$w_1 : BO_G \rightarrow H_G(\underline{\pi}_1(BO_G), 1)$$

be the map k_1 from above. Let BSO_G denote the G -homotopy-fibre of w_1 . (k_1 is well-defined, as BO_G is G -connected, and $\pi_1(BO_G^H)$ is Abelian, cf. (1.5)).

Similarly, let

$$w_2 : BSO_G \rightarrow H_G(\pi_2(BSO_G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/2), 2)$$

be the map $r \circ k_2$, where $r : H_G(\underline{A}, n) \rightarrow H_G(\underline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/2), n)$ is the mod 2 reduction map, and where $k_2 : BSO_G \rightarrow H_G(\pi_2(BSO_G), 2)$ is defined as above. Let $BSpin_G$ denote the G -homotopy-fibre of w_2 . (An argument using the G -fibration

$$BSO_G \rightarrow BO_G \rightarrow H_G(\pi_1(BO_G), 1)$$

shows that BSO_G is G -1-connected, and $k_2 : BSO_G \rightarrow H_G(\pi_2(BSO_G), 2)$ is thus well-defined.)

Proposition 2.2

Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k be $\mathbb{R}G$ -modules, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m be $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules, and W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n be $\mathbb{H}G$ -modules, as in (1.5). Then

$$BSO_G^G \simeq \prod_{x=1}^k BSO \times \prod_{y=1}^m BU \times \prod_{z=1}^n BSp$$

and

$$BSpin_G^G \simeq \prod_{x=1}^k BSpin \times \prod_{y=1}^m BSpinU \times \prod_{z=1}^n BSp$$

where $BSpinU$ is the homotopy-fibre of the composite map

$$BU \xrightarrow{k_2} H(\pi_2(BU), 2) = H(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \xrightarrow{r} H(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$$

with r being the mod 2 reduction map.

Proof:

This follows immediately from (1.5) by taking the 1-connected and 2-connected covers of BO_G^G . Recall that BSp is 2-connected, BU is 1-connected with $\pi_1(BU) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and that $\pi_1(BO) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\pi_2(BO) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

QED

Remark 2.3

$BSpinU$ is not the same space as $BSpin^c$ of [St], p.292: We have that $\pi_n(BSpinU) \cong \pi_n(BU)$ for $n > 2$, and especially $\pi_6(BSpinU) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, while $BSpin^c$ sits in the fibration sequence

$$H(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow BSpin^c \rightarrow BSO,$$

and therefore $\pi_6(BSpin^c) \cong \pi_6(BSO) = 0$.

From [L], p.257, we have the general definition of G - A -bundles, where A is the structure group. We explicity this definition in the cases where $A = SO(n)$ or $Spin(n)$:

Definition 2.4

A G - SO -bundle $E \downarrow X$ of dimension n is a G -map $p : E \rightarrow X$ between G -spaces such that

- 1) non-equivariantly, the map $p : E \rightarrow X$ is a $SO(n)$ -bundle, and
- 2) for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ the restricted map $g|_{E_x} : E_x \rightarrow E_{gx}$ is a map of G_x - SO -modules.

If $E \downarrow X$ and $F \downarrow X$ are G - SO -bundles of the same dimension n , then a map $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a G - SO -bundle-map if f is both a G -map and a $SO(n)$ -bundle-map.

It is easily seen that the pull-back f^*E along a G -map f again is a G - SO -bundle. Furthermore, the pull-backs along G -homotopic maps of the same G - SO -bundle are equivalent G - SO -bundles. We define the direct sum $E \oplus F$ of two G - SO -bundles $E \downarrow X$ and $F \downarrow X$ as $E \oplus F = \Delta^*(E \times F)$, where $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal map.

Finally, we get the Grothendieck-group $KSO_G(X)$ of isomorphism-classes of G - SO -bundles over the G -space X , and we define $\overline{KSO}_G(X)$ as the subgroup of $KSO_G(X)$ generated by differences of bundles $E - F$ satisfying

$$\forall x \in X : E_x \cong F_x \text{ as } G_x\text{-}SO\text{-modules.}$$

Definition 2.5

A G - $Spin$ -bundle $E \downarrow X$ of dimension n is two G -spaces E and X and a G -map $p : E \rightarrow X$ such that

- 1) $p : E \rightarrow X$ is non-equivariantly a $Spin(n)$ -bundle, and
- 2) for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ the restricted map $g|_{E_x} : E_x \rightarrow E_{gx}$ is a morphism of G - $Spin$ -modules.

As with G - SO -bundles we get a Grothendieck-group $KSpin_G(X)$ and a reduced version $\overline{KSpin}_G(X)$.

Theorem 2.6

The classifying spaces of the functors $\overline{KSO}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KSpin}_G(-)$ are BSO_G and $BSpin_G$, respectively.

Proof:

We denote momentarily the classifying spaces for the functors $\overline{KSO}_G(-)$ and $\overline{KSpin}_G(-)$ by B_1 and B_2 . We construct G -maps $\phi : B_1 \rightarrow BSO_G$ and $\psi : B_2 \rightarrow BSpin_G$ and show that they are G -homotopy-equivalences.

The spaces B_1 and B_2 are G -connected, as for every subgroup H of G , we have that

$$\pi_0(B_1^H) = \overline{KSO}_G(S^0 \wedge (G/H)_+) = 0$$

and

$$\pi_0(B_2^H) = \overline{KSpin}_G(S^0 \wedge (G/H)_+) = 0.$$

We have a 'forgetful' map $\bar{\phi} : \overline{KSO}_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{KO}_G(X)$ for every G -connected G -CW-complex X , defined by sending a G - SO -bundle to its underlying orthogonal G -bundle. As $\bar{\phi}$ is a natural transformation between functors, we get a G -map $\bar{\phi} : B_1 \rightarrow BO_G$.

Let $E - F \in KSO_G(S^1)$, where E and F are G - SO -bundles. We decompose E (and F) according to [K], (4.1): Using the notation of (1.5), we can find real bundles $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k$, complex bundles $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_m$, and symplectic bundles $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$, such that

$$(2.7) \quad E = U_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_n \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \xi_n$$

All the η_x 's are SO -bundles, as the SO -action on E in $\eta_x = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}G}(U_x, E)$ gives a SO -action on η_x . Furthermore, our decomposition of E above is easily seen to be a decomposition of G - SO -bundles. Now, as $\overline{KSO}(S^1) = \overline{K}(S^1) = \overline{KSp}(S^1) = 0$, all SO -, U - and Sp -bundles over S^1 are trivial. Especially, the η_x 's, the ζ_y 's and the ξ_z 's are trivial bundles, and E becomes a trivial G -bundle. We see that $\overline{KSO}_G(S^1) = 0$, and as $\overline{KSO}_G(S^1 \wedge (G/H)_+) \cong \overline{KSO}_H(S^1)$, we conclude that B_1 is G -1-connected.

The map $w_1 \circ \bar{\phi} : B_1 \rightarrow H_G(\pi_1(BO_G), 1)$ is null-homotopic, as $[B_1, H_G(\pi_1(BO_G), 1)]^G = H_G^1(B_1; \pi_1(BO_G))$ is zero: B_1 is G -1-connected, and [Br], (11.7.1) shows that B_1 is G -homotopy-equivalent to a G -complex with no cells in dimensions less than 2. The definition of G -cohomology, [Br], (1.6.4), implies that $H_G^1(B_1; \pi_1(BO_G))$ vanishes.

We get a lift $\phi : B_1 \rightarrow BSO_G$ of $\bar{\phi}$. We show that for every finite, G -connected G -CW-complex X the induced map $\phi : \overline{KSO}_G(X) \rightarrow [X, BSO_G]^G$ is an isomorphism. By using the equivariant Whitehead theorem and the fact that $\overline{KSO}_G(S^n \wedge (G/H)_+) = \overline{KSO}_H(S^n)$, it suffices to consider the case where $X = S^n$, $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1$, both $\overline{KSO}_G(S^1)$ and $[S^1, BSO_G]^G$ are zero.

Let E and F be G -bundles over S^n , $n > 1$, and let $E - F$ represent an element of $[S^n, BSO_G]^G = \overline{KO}_G(S^n)$. By using the decomposition (2.7), we get orthogonal bundles η_x over S^n . As $KO(S^n) = KSO(S^n)$, the η_x 's are actually SO -bundles, and E becomes a G - SO -bundle (the complex and symplectic parts of E give no problem here). Thus, we see that ϕ is surjective.

To show that ϕ is injective, we show that the composite $\bar{\phi}$ is injective. So, let $E - F \in \text{Ker}(\bar{\phi})$. Decompose E and F as above and note that we have O -isomorphisms between η_x and $\bar{\eta}_x$, U -isomorphisms between ζ_y and $\bar{\zeta}_y$, and Sp -isomorphisms between ξ_z and $\bar{\xi}_z$. But on S^0 there is no difference between O -isomorphisms and SO -isomorphisms of vector-bundles, as $\overline{KO}(S^0) \cong \overline{KSO}(S^0)$,

and as U - and Sp -isomorphisms are SO -isomorphisms, we get SO -isomorphisms on all the components in the decompositions of E and F . These are assembled to show that $E \cong F$ as G - SO -bundles, and we see that $E - F = 0$, and $\bar{\phi}$ is injective. This shows that $B_1 = BSO_G$.

The part of the theorem concerning $\overline{KSpin}_G(-)$ and $BSpin_G$ is proved in the same way: The map $\bar{\psi} : B_2 \rightarrow BO_G$ is defined as the 'forgetful' map sending a G -Spin-bundle to its underlying orthogonal bundle. By using methods as above, we see that $w_1 \circ \bar{\psi}$ and $w_2 \circ \bar{\psi}$ are null-homotopic, and we get a G -map $\psi : B_2 \rightarrow BSpin_G$ – one of the main points is that if $E \downarrow X$ is a complex bundle, then the obstruction to E being a $Spin$ -bundle is $w_2(E) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. But $w_2(E)$ is the image of $c_1(E)$ under the reduction map $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. (It is this fact that makes the use of the space $BSpinU$ necessary). By showing that the decomposition (2.7) respects $Spin$ -structures, we see as before that ψ is a G -homotopy-equivalence.

QED

We remark that the G -spaces BSO_G and $BSpin_G$ are G -Hopf-spaces, cf. [Br], §11.4: The maps w_1 and w_2 are seen to be Hopf-maps by considering the functionality of the Elmendorfer construction – the map $k_n : X \rightarrow H(\pi_n(X), n)$ will in general be a Hopf-map when X is a Hopf-space. BSO_G and $BSpin_G$ with this Hopf-structure is denoted by BSO_G^\oplus and $BSpin_G^\oplus$.

The tensorproduct of G - SO - and G - $Spin$ -bundles gives the Hopf-spaces BSO_G^\otimes and $BSpin_G^\otimes$ representing the functors $1 + \overline{KSO}_G(-)$ and $1 + \overline{KSpin}_G(-)$. As it is the case with BO_G , we have that BSO_G^\oplus and BSO_G^\otimes , and that $BSpin_G^\oplus$ and $BSpin_G^\otimes$ are equivalent G -spaces, but the Hopf-space-structures will in general be different.

For later use we describe the rational type of BSO_G :

Lemma 2.8

Let q be a prime not dividing the order of the group G . Let X be a G -space, and let Y be a q -local infinite G -loop space. Then the q -local map

$$Fix : [X, Y]_{(q)}^G \rightarrow [\Phi X, \Phi Y]_{\mathcal{O}_G(q)}$$

sending the G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to the \mathcal{O}_G -map

$$Fix(f) : G/H \mapsto (f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H)$$

is a bijection.

Proof:

This is essentially [LMS], (V.6.8) and (V.6.9): If $\langle |G|, q \rangle = 1$, then

$$[X, Y]_{(q)}^G \cong \prod_{(H)} [X^H, Y^H]_{(q)}^{INV}$$

where the superscript 'INV' indicates that we are considering homotopy classes of 'invariant maps', [LMS] (V.6.5). But such an invariant homotopy class corresponds to a \mathcal{O}_G -homotopy class of \mathcal{O}_G -maps $\Phi X \rightarrow \Phi Y$.

QED

Proposition 2.9

Let $BSO_G\mathbb{Q}$ be the representing space of the functor $\overline{KSO}_G(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$BSO_G\mathbb{Q} \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H_G(\pi_n(BSO_G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, n)$$

Proof:

From (2.8) we have

$$\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong [X, BSO_G\mathbb{Q}]^G \cong [\Phi X, \Phi BSO_G\mathbb{Q}]_{\mathcal{O}_G}$$

For a subgroup H of G we have that

$$BSO_G\mathbb{Q}^H \simeq \prod_{x=1}^k BSO\mathbb{Q} \times \prod_{y=1}^m BU\mathbb{Q} \times \prod_{z=1}^n BSp\mathbb{Q}$$

as it follows from (2.2), and where $BSO\mathbb{Q}$, $BU\mathbb{Q}$ and $BSp\mathbb{Q}$ are the rational types of BSO , BU and BSp , respectively.

It is well-known that

$$BSO\mathbb{Q} \simeq \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H(\pi_n(BSO) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, n)$$

and similarly for BU and BSp , and we see that

$$BSO_G\mathbb{Q}^H \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H_G(\pi_n(BSO_G^H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, n)$$

By applying [El], thm. 2, we get the result.

QED

Of course, similar results holds for $BO_G\mathbb{Q}$, $BU_G\mathbb{Q}$, $BSp_G\mathbb{Q}$ and $BSpin_G\mathbb{Q}$.

3. The structure of BSO_G

In this section we study the structure of the space BSO_G via the λ -ring-structure on the functor $\overline{KSO}_G(-)$. The aim is to generalize results of Atiyah-Tall and Atiyah-Segal.

In the following we assume that G is a group of odd order. This implies that the numbers k and n of (1.5) are 1 and 0, respectively. Furthermore, $\pi_1(BO_G)$ is the constant coefficient system $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

We start by showing an equivariant analogue of the splitting principle in Bredon cohomology, cf. [Hu], (16.5.2).

Lemma 3.1

Let $E \downarrow X$ be a G -bundle. Then there is a G -space $Q(E)$ and a G -map $q: Q(E) \rightarrow X$ such that $q^*(E)$ splits as a sum of G -line-bundles and the map

$$q^*: H_G(X; \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G)) \rightarrow H_G(Q(E); \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G))$$

is a monomorphism.

Proof:

As in the non-equivariant case, [Hu] (16.5.2), we construct $Q(E)$ inductively by going from X to $P(E)$ – the projective bundle of E . We see that the bundle $p^*(E)$ over $P(E)$ splits as a sum of a canonical line-bundle and another bundle of lower dimension than E , and we repeat this procedure on the latter bundle. (Here $p: P(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection on the base space).

The injectivity of the map in Bredon-cohomology is also shown stepwise. It suffices to show that the map

$$p^*: H_G^*(X; \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G)) \rightarrow H_G^*(P(E); \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G))$$

is injective.

As the order of the group G is odd, and the coefficient system $\underline{\pi}_1(BO_G)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ -module, we get from [LMS], (V.6.8) and (V.6.9), that there is a natural isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi: H_G^*(Z; \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(H)} H^*(Z^H; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

Here the sum is over all conjugacy classes of subgroups of G .

Using (3.2), we reduce the problem to show that

$$(q^H)^*: H^*(X^H; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^*(P(E)^H; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is injective for every subgroup H of G . But as G is of odd order $P(E)^H$ equals the projective bundle of the real bundle $E^H|_{X^H} \rightarrow X^H$, and we now use the non-equivariant splitting principle of [Hu], (16.5.2).

QED

If $E \downarrow X$ is a real G -bundle, we define $w_1(E) \in H_G^1(X; \underline{\pi}_1(BO_G))$ as $w_1(E - V)$, where V is the trivial bundle having $V = E_*$ as fibre. If $w_1(E) = 0$, we say that E is *G-orientable*.

Lemma 3.3

Let E and F be G -line-bundles over the G -connected G -space X . Then

$$w_1(E \oplus F) = w_1(E) + w_1(F).$$

Proof:

Let $L_G(X)$ be the semi-group of G -line-bundles over X with \otimes as the composition. $L_G(-)$ is clearly a representable functor. Denote the classifying space by BL_G . Since $L_G(X)$ has a natural multiplication for all X , we see that BL_G is a G -Hopf-space.

We now get the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BL_G & \xrightarrow{k_1} & H_G(\pi_1(BL_G), 1) \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ BO_G & \xrightarrow{w_1} & H_G(\pi_1(BO_G), 1) \end{array}$$

where the map i is induced by the map

$$L_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{KO}_G(X) : E \mapsto E - E_*$$

and j comes from the \mathcal{O}_G -group-homomorphism $\pi_1(j) : \pi_1(BL_G) \rightarrow \pi_1(BO_G)$.

All these maps except possibly i are Hopf-maps. The commutativity of the diagram now gives the result.

QED

Corollary 3.4

Assume X is a G -connected G -space. Then $\overline{KSO}_G(X)$ is stable under the multiplication induced by \otimes .

Proof:

It suffices to show that if E and F are G -orientable then $E \otimes F$ is G -orientable, too. By using the splitting principle (3.1), we reduce to the case where E and F are line-bundles, and (3.3) gives the result.

QED

We recall that $KO_G(X)$ is a λ -ring: If E is a G -bundle over X and n a non-negative integer, then $\lambda^n E$ is the real G -bundle $\Lambda^n E$, where the G -action is given by

$$g(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = (ge_1) \wedge (ge_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (ge_n).$$

Proposition 3.5

Let X be a finite G -connected G -CW-complex. Then $KO_G(X)$ is a special, finite-dimensional λ -ring.

Proof:

$KO_G(X)$ is finite-dimensional, as every real G -bundle is finite-dimensional: Let E be a G -bundle over X , where n the dimension of a fibre of E . Then $\Lambda^m E = 0$ for $m > n$.

That $KO_G(X)$ is a special λ -ring follows from the splitting principle in KO_G -theory; see [tD], p.32.

QED

Corollary 3.6

$KSO_G(X)$ is a special λ -ring. $\overline{KSO}_G(X)$ is a λ -ideal in $KSO_G(X)$.

Proof:

We must show that if E is a G -oriented G -bundle, then $\Lambda^n E$ is G -oriented for all integers n . Using the splitting principle (3.1), we may assume that E is a sum of linebundles, $E = F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$. We have the isomorphism

$$\Lambda^n (F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n) = \bigoplus (F_{i(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{i(n)})$$

where the sum is over all sequences $i(1) < i(2) < \dots < i(n)$ of integers, cf. [Hu],

(5.6.10). By using (3.3) we see that $w_1(\Lambda^n E)$ equals $\binom{m}{n} w_1(E) = 0$.

QED

Proposition 3.7

For X G -connected, the γ -ring $\overline{KSO}_G(X)$ is an oriented γ -ring.

Proof:

According to [AT], p.285 it suffices to show that for every $x \in \overline{KSO}_G(X)$ there exist G -bundles E and F over X such that $x = E - F$, and, if n denotes the dimension of E and F , then the linebundles $\Lambda^n E$ and $\Lambda^n F$ are the trivial one-dimensional G -bundle $V \times X \downarrow X$.

Write x as $E - V$, where E is a G -bundle and V is the trivial bundle $V \times X \downarrow X$ for some G -module V , as in (1.7). Discarding the G -actions for a moment, we see that

$$0 = w_1(x) = w_1(E) - w_1(V) = w_1(E)$$

and thus both $\Lambda^n E$ and $\Lambda^n F$ are trivial line-bundles, as $KSO(X)$ is an oriented λ -ring. We decompose $\Lambda^n E$ as in (1.5). As $\Lambda^n E$ is one-dimensional, this decomposition must be of the form $\Lambda^n E \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_i$, as \mathbb{R} , the trivial one-dimensional representation, is the only 1-dimensional representation of G . If we ignore the G -action, \mathbb{R} gives the trivial line-bundle, and $\Lambda^n E \cong \eta_i$ is a trivial bundle. Thus, both $\Lambda^n E$ and $\Lambda^n V$ are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

QED

From now on we assume that p is an odd prime, and that G is a p -group.

Proposition 3.8

Let X a G -connected G -CW-complex. Then $\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is a p -adic γ -ring.

Proof:

As X is G -connected, the natural inclusion $\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \rightarrow \overline{KO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is a monomorphism preserving the γ -ring-structure. [tD], (3.8.6) now gives the result.

QED

Theorem 3.9

There is a splitting of G -Hopf-spaces:

$$(BSO_G^\oplus)_p^\wedge \simeq B_0^\oplus \times B_1^\oplus \times \dots \times B_{m-1}^\oplus, \quad m = \frac{p-1}{2}$$

Proof:

[AT], lemma 2.2, p.279 shows that, as $\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is a p -adic γ -ring, the domain of the Adams operations $\psi^k : \overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \rightarrow \overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ in the variable k extends by continuity to operations

$$\psi^a : \overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \rightarrow \overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p,$$

where $a \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$.

Letting α be a generator of the finite factor $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ of the splitting

$$(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1) \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$$

we have from [AT], p.284, that $\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ splits canonically into eigenspaces for the operator ψ^α , the eigenvalues being α^i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$.

As this splitting is canonical in the space X , we get a corresponding splitting of the classifying space $(BSO_G)_p^\wedge$ into $p-1$ components.

Half of these components vanish: Let i be one of the odd numbers $1, 3, \dots, p-2$, and let $x \in \overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ be an eigenvector for ψ^α with eigenvalue α^i . As

$\alpha^{(p-1)/2} = -1$, [AT], (5.2), p.264, shows that

$$\psi^{-1}(x) = \psi^{\alpha^{i(p-1)/2}}(x) = \alpha^{i(p-1)/2} x = -x$$

But as $\overline{KSO}_G(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is an oriented γ -ring, (3.7), ψ^{-1} acts as the identity operator, see [AT], p.285. Thus, x must be 0, and these all of 'odd' components vanish.

QED

Theorem 3.10

Let p be an odd prime. Then there is a splitting of G -Hopf-spaces

$$(BSO_G^\otimes)_p^\wedge \simeq B_0^\otimes \times B_1^\otimes \times \dots \times B_{m-1}^\otimes, \quad m = \frac{p-1}{2}$$

Proof:

The proof is the same as that of (3.9) - the Adams operation ψ^a acts on $1 + \overline{KSO}_G(X)_p^\wedge$ by $\psi^a(x) = x^{\alpha^i}$, where x is an element of the i 'th eigenspace.

QED

Theorem 3.11

Let p be an odd prime and let k be an integer such that $k + p^2\mathbb{Z}$ generates the group of units in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. Then the cannibalistic class ρ^k induces an G -homotopy-equivalence of G -Hopf-spaces

$$\rho^k : B_0^\oplus \rightarrow B_0^\otimes$$

Proof:

[AT] (II 4.4).

QED

We obtain from [AS] thm.2 :

Theorem 3.12

Let p be a prime. Then there is a G -homotopy equivalence of G -Hopf-spaces

$$\delta : (BSO_G^\oplus)_p^\wedge \rightarrow (BSO_G^\otimes)_p^\wedge$$

A variant of δ is $\bar{\delta}$, which is the map ρ^k at the first component B_0 and δ at the rest of the components. As above we see that

$$(3.13) \quad \bar{\delta} : (BSO_G^\oplus)_p^\wedge \rightarrow (BSO_G^\otimes)_p^\wedge$$

is an equivalence of G -Hopf-spaces.

Remark 3.14

Actually, the results of [AT] and [AS] cannot be used directly in (3.9)-(3.13): In [AT] and [AS] it is assumed that we have a λ -ring R with an augmentation $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and then the results of [AT] holds for the augmentation ideal I .

We are in a more general situation, in that we have the λ -ring $KSO_G(X)$ and the λ -homomorphism $\varepsilon : KSO_G(X) \rightarrow RO(G)$ sending a G -bundle E to the representation E_* . The kernel of ε is $\overline{KSO}_G(X)$. It is possible to generalize the results of [AT] and [AS] to this case without any serious difficulties.

Counterexample 3.15

The crucial step in getting (3.9)-(3.12) from [AT] and [AS] is (3.8). When G is not a p -group, or when we do not localize at the order of the group, (3.8) does not hold. We give a simple counterexample:

If (3.8) did hold, then we would have, as in (1.5.6) in [AT], that the Adams' operation $\psi^k : \overline{KSO}_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{KSO}_G(X)$ would be p -adically continuous in the variable k .

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/3$ be the cyclic group of order 3, and let p be the prime 5. Then $\overline{KSO}_G(S^{4n})$ is isomorphic to $RO(G)$ and is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank 2 with generators 1, V corresponding to the two irreducible $\mathbb{R}G$ -modules of dimension 1 and 2, respectively. ψ^k maps $a1 + bV$ to $k^{2n}(a1 + bV)$ if $(k, 3) = 1$ and to $k^{2n}(a + 2b)$ if $3 \mid k$.

If ψ^k was 5-adically continuous in k , then for every $x \in \overline{KSO}_G(S^{4n})$ and integer m we could find an integer r , such that for $5^r | s$ and integer k , we would have

$$\psi^{k+s}(x) - \psi^k(x) \in 5^m \cdot \overline{KSO}_G(S^{4n})$$

But if $3 | (k+s)$ and $(3, k) = 1$ and $x = a1 + bV$, then

$$\psi^{k+s}(x) - \psi^k(x) = (((k+s)^{2n} - k^{2n})a + 2k^{2n}b)1 + k^{2n}V$$

which definitely not is contained even in $5 \cdot \overline{KSO}_G(S^{4n})$.

4. SF_G and the Adams' conjecture

We now proceed to study the G -space SF_G . Important ingredients in this analysis is the equivariant Adams' conjecture, due to McClure, cf. [MC], and the results of §3. Our standing assumption is that p is an odd prime, G is a p -group, and that all spaces are p -local.

Definition 4.1

Let $Q_G S^0$ be the G -loop-space $\lim_{\rightarrow} \Omega^V S^V$, where the limit is over all G -modules in a fixed, complete G -universe \mathcal{U} , cf. [LMS] p. 11. $Q_G S^0$ is a ' G -ring-space', where the additive structure comes from the 'loop-sum' $*: \Omega^V S^V \rightarrow \Omega^V S^V$, which exists for every G -module V , and where the multiplication is composition of maps. We let the identity map be the basepoint of $Q_G S^0$.

Let SF_G be the G -connected cover of $Q_G S^0$. SF_G inherits a (multiplicative) G -Hopf-space structure from $Q_G S^0$.

Certain facts about $Q_G S^0$ are well-known - we recall from [S70], p.62, that

$$(4.2) \quad (Q_G S^0)^G \simeq \prod_{(H)} Q(BW_H),$$

where the product is over all conjugacy classes (H) of subgroups of G . W_H is the Weyl-group $N_G(H)/H$. By taking connected covers we see that

$$(4.3) \quad (SF_G)^G \simeq \prod_{(H)} Q_0(BW_H),$$

where $Q_0(BW_H)$ is the basepoint component of $Q(BW_H)$.

Definition 4.4

Let X be a finite G -CW-complex. The G -fibration $\xi: E \rightarrow X$ is a spherical G -fibration or a G -sphere-bundle, if

- 1) for every $x \in X$ there is a G_x -representation V such that the fibre E_x is G_x -homotopy-equivalent to S^V , and

2) the map $X \rightarrow E$ given by $x \mapsto$ (the basepoint of E_x) is a G -cofibration.

(This is the definition of [MC], p.230-231).

Fibre-wise smash-products makes the set of G -sphere-bundles over X into a semigroup, and the corresponding Grothendieck group is denoted $KF_G(X)$. The subgroup $\overline{KF}_G(X)$ is defined as follows

$$(4.5) \quad E - F \in \overline{KF}_G(X) \iff \forall x \in X : E_x \simeq F_x \text{ as } G_x\text{-spaces}.$$

The functors $KF_G(-)$ and $\overline{KF}_G(-)$ are easily seen to be representable functors. We denote the classifying space of $\overline{KF}_G(-)$ by BF_G .

It follows from [W] that

$$(4.6) \quad \pi_0(BF_G) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(BF_G) \cong \underline{A}(G)^\times,$$

where the \mathcal{O}_G -group $\underline{A}(G)^\times$ is given by $\underline{A}(G)^\times(G/H) = A(H)^\times$ – the unit group of the Burnside ring $A(H)$. Furthermore, we see that BF_G is the classifying G -space of the G -monoid F_G – the subspace of $\mathcal{Q}_G S^0$ consisting of G -homotopy-equivalences with the monoid structure coming from composition of maps.

Let BSF_G be the 1-connected cover of BF_G . It follows that BSF_G is the classifying space of the monoid SF_G , and thus

$$(4.7) \quad \Omega BSF_G \simeq SF_G$$

Define the natural transformation $J_G : KO_G(X) \rightarrow KF_G(X)$ by sending the real G -bundle $E \downarrow X$ to its fibrewise one-point compactification $S^E \downarrow X$. It is immediately seen that J_G restricts to a natural transformation $\overline{KO}_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{KF}_G(X)$, and thus produces a G -Hopf-map $J_G : BO_G \rightarrow BF_G$. Furthermore, by killing off π_1 , we get a lift of $J_G : BSO_G \rightarrow BSF_G$.

Let F/O_G and SF/SO_G be the homotopy fibres of $J_G : BO_G \rightarrow BF_G$ and $J_G : BSO_G \rightarrow BSF_G$ respectively.

Proposition 4.8

The natural map $\theta : SF/SO_G \rightarrow F/O_G$ is a G -homotopy equivalence if G is of odd order or if we localize at an odd prime p .

Proof:

We have the G -homotopy commutative diagram:

Oriented, equivariant K -theory and the Sullivan splittings
Kenneth Hansen

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 SF/SO_G & \longrightarrow & BSO_G & \xrightarrow{J_G} & BSF_G \\
 \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F/O_G & \longrightarrow & BO_G & \xrightarrow{J_G} & BF_G \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & H_G(\pi_1(BO_G), 1) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H_G(\pi_1(BF_G), 1)
 \end{array}$$

Let H be a subgroup of G . $\pi_1(BO_G^H) \cong RO(H)/R(H)$ and $\pi_1(BF_G^H) = A(H)^\times$ are both 2-torsion groups, and θ is thus an equivalence away from 2.

If G is of odd order, then both $RO(H)/R(H)$ and $A(H)^\times$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Furthermore, the non-zero element in $\overline{KO}_G(S^1 \wedge (G/H)_+) \cong \overline{KO}_H(S^1)$ is represented by the reduced Möbius-bundle with trivial G -action and, as in the non-equivariant case, is mapped by J_G to the non-trivial element in

$\overline{KF}_G(S^1 \wedge (G/H)_+)$. Thus ψ is a G -homotopy equivalence and the result follows.

QED

The Adams conjecture relates J_G to the Adams-operations in K -theory. The non-equivariant version states:

Let k be an integer, $x \in KO(X)$. Then there exist an integer n , such that

$$k^n J(\psi^k x - x) = 0 .$$

By localizing at a prime p , satisfying $(p, k) = 1$, we get rid of the factor k . Various attempts have been made to generalize the Adams conjecture to the equivariant case. In [FHM], theorem 0.4, it is shown that $k^n s J(\psi^k x - x) = 0$, where $(k, |G|) = 1$, and s is the minimal integer, such that $k^s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{|G|}$. The extra factor s is necessary – it insures that the 'fibres' of the virtual G -bundles $\psi^k x$ and x are the same element in $R(G_a)$ for every $a \in X$.

McClure has another variation, cf. [MC] (5.1). This uses a variant of the functor $KF_G(X)$:

Let p be a prime. Define the equivalence relation \sim of stable p -equivalence on $KF_G(X)_{(p)}$ as follows: The G -sphere-bundles E and F are stably p -equivalent if there exists a real G -representation V and G -fiber maps

$$f_1 : S^V E \rightarrow S^V F \text{ and } f_2 : S^V F \rightarrow S^V E$$

such that f_1 and f_2 have degrees prime to p on all fixed sets of each fibre.

Denote the set of stably p -equivalence classes in $KF_G(X)_{(p)}$ by $KF_G^{(p)}(X)$, and denote the reduced version by $\overline{KF}_G^{(p)}(X)$.

Oriented, equivariant K -theory and the Sullivan splittings
Kenneth Hansen

The relation between $KF_G(X)_{(p)}$ and $KF_G^{(p)}(X)$ is as follows, cf. [MC], (1.3):

Let X be a G -connected, finite G -CW-complex. Then there is a natural, short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow jO(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} KF_G(X)_{(p)} \longrightarrow KF_G^{(p)}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $jO(G) = RO_0(G)/RO_h(G)$ ([tD] p.229), and α is the composite

$$jO(G) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Im}(J : KO_G(*)_{(p)} \rightarrow KF_G(*)_{(p)}) \twoheadrightarrow KF_G(X)_{(p)}$$

Lemma 4.9

For X G -connected we have $\overline{KF}_G(X)_{(p)} \cong \overline{KF}_G^{(p)}(X)$.

Proof:

We have the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & \overline{KF}_G(X)_{(p)} & \rightarrow & \overline{KF}_G^{(p)}(X) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & 0 & \rightarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & jO(G)_{(p)} & \rightarrow & KF_G(X)_{(p)} & \rightarrow & KF_G^{(p)}(X) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & jO(G)_{(p)} & \rightarrow & KF_G(*)_{(p)} & \rightarrow & KF_G^{(p)}(*) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

QED

The equivariant Adams' conjecture [MC], (5.1) is now

Theorem 4.10

Let p be an odd prime and let k be an integer prime to p and $|G|$. Then the composite

$$(BSO_G)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\psi^k - 1} (BSO_G)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{J} (BSF_G)_{(p)}$$

is null-homotopic.

Actually, this is not McClures formulation of the Adams conjecture, but upon using reduced KO_G - and KF_G -groups, and by using (4.9), we get the result above. The reason why this formulation doesn't involve extra factors is that we work in

reduced KO_G - and KF_G -theory. This means that the condition that ξ and ξ^k have the same fibres over x in $R(G_x)$ for $x \in X$, is automatically fulfilled.

Corollary 4.11

There is a map $\alpha_k : (BSO_G)_{(p)} \rightarrow (F/O_G)_{(p)}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (F/O_G)_{(p)} & \longrightarrow & (BSO_G)_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{J} & (BSF_G)_{(p)} \\
 \swarrow \alpha_k & & \uparrow \psi^k - 1 & & \\
 & & (BSO_G)_{(p)} & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Definition 4.12

Let G be a group of odd order, and let p be an odd prime. Let k be an integer, such that $k + p^2\mathbb{Z}$ generates the unit group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Define the G -Spaces J_G^\oplus and J_G^\otimes as the homotopy fibres of the maps $\psi^k - 1 : BSO_G^\oplus \rightarrow BSO_G^\oplus$ and $\psi^k / 1 : BSO_G^\otimes \rightarrow BSO_G^\otimes$. As both $\psi^k - 1$ and $\psi^k / 1$ are Hopf-maps, J_G^\oplus and J_G^\otimes becomes G -Hopf-spaces. J_G^\oplus and J_G^\otimes are equivalent G -Spaces, but the Hopf-structures will in general be different.

Remark 4.13

In [FHM], (0.5) it is shown that J_G is the G -connected cover of equivariant, orthogonal, algebraic K-theory, $KO(\mathbb{F}_k, G)$, provided that k is a prime power.

5. The e -invariant and the Sullivan splittings

We now generalize the splittings

$$F/O \simeq BSO \times \text{Cok } J \quad \text{and} \quad SF \simeq J \times \text{Cok } J$$

of Sullivan to the equivariant case. We already have one of the maps needed to prove this, namely α_k , and we now define the other – the e -invariant.

As usual, p is an odd prime, G is a p -group, all spaces are p -local, and k is an integer such that $k + p^2\mathbb{Z}$ generates the unit group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

The main reason for studying G -Spin-bundles is that, as in the non-equivariant case, a G -Spin($8n$)-bundle has a Thom-class in KO_G -theory. Recall from [A], (6.1):

Theorem 5.1

Let Π be a compact Lie group, V a Π - $Spin$ -module of dimension $8n$, and X a compact G -Space. Then there is an element $u \in KO_G(X)$, defined by using the Dirac operator on V , such that multiplication with u induces an isomorphism

$$KO_G(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X \times V)$$

Theorem 5.2

Let G be a finite group, $E \downarrow X$ a G - $Spin(8n)$ -bundle over the compact G -connected G -CW-space X . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\Phi_E : KO_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{KO}_G(T(E))$$

where $T(E)$ is the Thom-complex of E .

Proof:

Let $R \downarrow X$ be the principal G - $Spin(8n)$ -bundle corresponding to E , that is, we have a G - $Spin(8n)$ -module V such that $E \cong R \times_{Spin(8n)} V$ (V is actually the fibre of E , at the base point of X , and the equivalence above follows from the fact that X is G -connected).

As $Spin(8n)$ acts freely on R , we see that

$$KO_{G \times Spin(8n)}(R) \cong KO_G(R / Spin(8n)) \cong KO_G(X),$$

and that

$$KO_{G \times Spin(8n)}(R \times V) \cong KO_G(E) \cong \overline{KO}_G(T(E))$$

as E is not a compact G -space. The result follows now immediately from (5.1).

QED

We construct a G -Hopf-map $e : F / O_G \rightarrow BSO_G^{\otimes}$ as follows:

Let X be a finite G -connected G -CW-complex. Then the elements in $[X, F / O_G]^G$ can be described as 3-tuples (E, F, h) , where E and F are stable G -bundles over X , such that $E - F \in \overline{KSO}_G(X)$ and where h is a fibrewise G -homotopy equivalence $h : S^E \rightarrow S^F$. (See [BM], p.146 for a closer description of the group structure on $[X, F / O_G]^G$.)

Since 2 is inverted, we can assume that that E and F are G - $Spin$ -bundles, and by stabilizing, we can further assume that E and F are G - $Spin(8n)$ -bundles.

Let $\Delta_E = \Phi_E(1) \in \overline{KO}_G(T(E))$ and $\Delta_F = \Phi_F(1) \in \overline{KO}_G(T(F))$ be the Thom-classes of E and F . h gives a map $T(E) \rightarrow T(F)$, and we define $e(E, F, h)$ as the unique element in $1 + \overline{KO}_G(X)$ satisfying

$$(5.3) \quad h^*(\Delta_F) = e(E, F, h) \cdot \Delta_E$$

– observe that $\overline{KO}_G(T(E))$ is a free $KO_G(X)$ -module of rank 1, and that Δ_E and $h^*(\Delta_F)$ are the image of units of $KO_G(X)$.

Proposition 5.4

We have a G -homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F/O_G & \xrightarrow{i} & BSO_G^\oplus \\ e \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^k \\ BSO_G^\otimes & \xrightarrow{1/\psi^k} & BSO_G^\otimes \end{array}$$

where k is an integer, and $i: F/O_G \rightarrow BSO_G^\oplus$ is the 'inclusion' map.

Proof:

Let X be a finite, G -connected G -CW-complex, $(E, F, h) \in [X, F/O_G]^G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1/\psi^k \circ e)(E, F, h) &= (1/\psi^k) \left(\frac{h^*(\Delta_F)}{\Delta_E} \right) = \frac{\psi^k \Delta_E}{\Delta_E} \cdot \frac{h^*(\Delta_F)}{h^*(\psi^k \Delta_F)} = \\ &\rho^k(E) \cdot (\rho^k(F))^{-1} = \rho^k(E - F) = \rho^k(i(E, F, h)) \end{aligned}$$

QED

Corollary 5.5

The composite $SF_G \longrightarrow F/O_G \xrightarrow{e} BSO_G^\otimes$ factors through J_G .

Proof:

We must show that the composite $SF_G \longrightarrow F/O_G \xrightarrow{e} BSO_G^\otimes \xrightarrow{1/\psi^k} BSO_G^\otimes$ is nullhomotopic. But from (5.4) we have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SF_G & \xrightarrow{j} & F/O_G & \xrightarrow{i} & BSO_G^\oplus \\ & & e \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^k \\ & & BSO_G^\otimes & \xrightarrow{1/\psi^k} & BSO_G^\otimes \end{array}$$

and as $i \circ j$ is null-homotopic, we get the result.

QED

Lemma 5.6

Let k be as in (4.12). Let $\alpha_k: BSO_G^\oplus \rightarrow F/O_G$ be the map of (4.11). Then the composite $e \circ \alpha_k: BSO_G^\oplus \rightarrow BSO_G^\otimes$ is G -homotopic to $\rho^k: BSO_G^\oplus \rightarrow BSO_G^\otimes$.

Proof:

We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BSO_G^\oplus & \xrightarrow{1-\psi^k} & BSO_G^\oplus \\
 \alpha_k \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 F/O_G & \xrightarrow{i} & BSO_G^\oplus \\
 e \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^k \\
 BSO_G^\otimes & \xrightarrow{1/\psi^k} & BSO_G^\otimes
 \end{array}$$

which is homotopy commutative because of (4.11) and (5.4). As

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BSO_G^\oplus & \xrightarrow{1-\psi^k} & BSO_G^\oplus \\
 \rho^k \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^k \\
 BSO_G^\otimes & \xrightarrow{1/\psi^k} & BSO_G^\otimes
 \end{array}$$

is commutative, too, we see that $1/\psi^k \circ (e \circ \alpha^k)$ and $1/\psi^k \circ \rho^k$ are G -homotopic maps.

As in [AII], p.152, it is possible to define ρ^k on a complex G -bundle $E \downarrow X$ by using the Thom-isomorphism $\Phi_E : K_G(X) \rightarrow \overline{K}_G(T(E))$, where $T(E)$ is the Thom-complex of E , cf. [A], (4.8). We have

$$(5.7) \quad \rho^k(E) = \Phi_E^{-1} \circ \psi^k \circ \Phi_E(1) \in K_G(X),$$

and from [AII], (5.4), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \Phi_E^{-1} \circ \psi^k \circ \Phi_E(x) = \rho^k(E) \cdot \psi^k(x) \quad , \quad x \in K_G(X)$$

(This definition of ρ^k coincides with that of [AT], p. 281 and p. 268 – see [AT], p.286 ff.).

Letting $Y = S^{2n} = T(\mathbb{C}^n \downarrow *)$ and by using the exponential nature of ρ^k and its behaviour on complex line-bundles, we see that $\rho(\mathbb{C}^n \downarrow *) = k^n$ and from [tD], (3.5.1), and (5.8), we get

$$(5.9) \quad (\psi^k(\chi))(g) = k^n \cdot \chi(g) \quad , \quad g \in G,$$

where $\chi \in \overline{K}_G(S^{2n})$ is considered as a complex character under the Thom-isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{C}^n} : R(G) = K_G(*) \rightarrow \overline{K}_G(S^{2n})$$

As 2 is inverted, the map

$$\overline{KSO}_G(S^{2n}) \cong RO(G) \rightarrow R(G) \cong \overline{K}_G(S^{2n})$$

given by 'complexification' of representations, is injective, and preserves the λ -ringstructure.

Selecting a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $RO(G)$ consisting of the irreducible representations, we see that the matrix of the map $\psi^k - 1$ has non-vanishing determinant – modulo k this matrix is simply the diagonal matrix with -1 as the only entries. We conclude that $\psi^k - 1$ induces monomorphisms

$$\pi_{2n}((\psi^k - 1)^H) : \pi_{2n}(BSO_G^H) \rightarrow \pi_{2n}(BSO_G^H)$$

for every subgroup H of G .

Going over to the multiplicative structure, we again have that $1/\psi^k$ gives monomorphisms in homotopy (for odd n $\pi_n(BSO_G^H)$ vanishes). We conclude that $e \circ \alpha_k$ and ρ^k give the same maps on the homotopy groups.

If we now consider ρ^k and $e \circ \alpha_k$ as natural transformations between the representable functors $\overline{KSO}_G(-)$ and $1 + \overline{KSO}_G(-)$, we see that they coincide on the G -cells $S^n \wedge (G/H)_+$. We want to show that ρ^k and $e \circ \alpha_k$ coincide on every G -CW-complex.

As $KSO_G(BSO_G)$ is torsion-free, ([MR], at the bottom of p. 97,) it suffices to show that ρ^k and $e \circ \alpha_k$ coincide after rationalization. By applying (2.9), which states that both $BSO_G^{\oplus} \mathbb{Q}$ and $BSO_G^{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$ are products of equivariant Eilenberg-MacLane-spaces, and Elmendorf's description of G -cohomology, [E1], p.277, the problem reduces to show that for every integer $n > 2$ and subgroup H of G the natural transformations

$$H^n(-; \pi_n(BSO_G^H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(-; \pi_n(BSO_G^H) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by $\pi_n((\rho^k)^H)$ and $\pi_n((e \circ \alpha^k)^H)$ coincide. But $(\rho^k)^H$ and $(e \circ \alpha^k)^H$ agree on homotopy groups, and the result follows.

QED

Definition 5.10

Recall the G -Hopf-Space splitting

$$BSO_G^{\otimes} \simeq B_0^{\otimes} \times (B_0^{\otimes})^{\perp}$$

of (3.9), where $(B_0^{\otimes})^{\perp} \simeq B_1^{\otimes} \times \dots \times B_{m-1}^{\otimes}$. Let π and π^{\perp} be the projections

$$\pi : BSO_G \rightarrow B_0^{\otimes} \text{ and } \pi^{\perp} : BSO_G \rightarrow (B_0^{\otimes})^{\perp}.$$

Define $\beta : F/O_G \rightarrow BSO_G$ as the composite

$$F/O_G \xrightarrow{\Delta} F/O_G \times F/O_G \xrightarrow{exi} BSO_G^{\otimes} \times BSO_G^{\oplus}$$

$$BSO_G^{\otimes} \times BSO_G^{\oplus} \xrightarrow{Id \times \delta} BSO_G^{\otimes} \times BSO_G^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\pi \times \pi^{\perp}} BSO_G^{\otimes}$$

Here Δ is the diagonal map, while δ is the map from (3.12).

Finally, define the G -space $\text{Cok } J_G$ as the homotopy fibre of β .

We are now able to generalize the splittings of Sullivan [MN], (5.18) to the equivariant case.

Theorem 5.11

β gives a splitting

$$F/O_G \simeq BSO_G \times \text{Cok } J_G$$

Proof:

We show that $\beta \circ \alpha_k : BSO_G \rightarrow BSO_G$ is a G -homotopy equivalence:

$\beta \circ \alpha_k : BSO_G^\oplus \rightarrow BSO_G^\otimes$ G -homotopic to the composite

$$BSO_G^\oplus \xrightarrow{\Delta} BSO_G^\oplus \times BSO_G^\oplus \xrightarrow{\pi\rho^k \times \pi^{\perp} \delta(\psi^k - 1)} B_0^\otimes \times (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$$

as it follows from (5.6) and (4.11). Separating BSO_G^\oplus into B_0^\oplus and $(B_0^\oplus)^\perp$, we see that the composite

$$B_0^\oplus \rightarrow BSO_G^\oplus \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} B_0^\otimes \times (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$$

equals

$$B_0^\oplus \xrightarrow{\Delta} B_0^\oplus \times B_0^\oplus \xrightarrow{\pi\rho^k \times 0} B_0^\otimes \times (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$$

where 0 is a null-homotopic map, while the composite

$$(B_0^\oplus)^\perp \rightarrow BSO_G^\oplus \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} B_0^\otimes \times (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$$

becomes

$$(B_0^\oplus)^\perp \xrightarrow{\Delta} (B_0^\oplus)^\perp \times (B_0^\oplus)^\perp \xrightarrow{\pi\rho^k \times \pi^{\perp} \delta(\psi^k - 1)} B_0^\otimes \times (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$$

Thus, if we separate the homotopy groups of the spaces BSO_G^\oplus and BSO_G^\otimes into direct summands $\pi_n(BSO_G^\oplus) = \pi_n(B_0^\oplus) \oplus \pi_n((B_0^\oplus)^\perp)$ and

$\pi_n(BSO_G^\otimes) = \pi_n(B_0^\otimes) \oplus \pi_n((B_0^\otimes)^\perp)$, the matrix of $\beta \circ \alpha_k$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho^k & \rho^k \\ 0 & \delta(\psi^k - 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

It suffices to show that $\rho^k : B_0^\oplus \rightarrow B_0^\otimes$ and $\delta(\psi^k - 1) : (B_0^\oplus)^\perp \rightarrow (B_0^\otimes)^\perp$ are G -homotopy-equivalences. The first fact follows from (3.11), while the second is more or less obvious – one needs the fact that δ preserves the splittings (3.9) and (3.10), but this follows from the construction of δ , (3.12) and [AS], thm. 3. Furthermore, on the factor $(B_0^\oplus)^\perp$, the map $\psi^k - 1 : (B_0^\oplus)^\perp \rightarrow (B_0^\oplus)^\perp$ is a G -homotopy-equivalence, as this follows from the proof of (3.9), and the description of $(B_0^\oplus)^\perp$ therein.

QED

Corollary 5.12

We have a splitting

$$SF_G \simeq J_G \times \text{Cok } J_G$$

Proof:

We have the G -homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J_G^\oplus & \longrightarrow & BSO_G^\oplus & \xrightarrow{\psi^k - 1} & BSO_G^\oplus \\ \bar{\alpha} \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ SF_G & \longrightarrow & F/O_G & \longrightarrow & BSO_G^\oplus \\ \bar{\beta} \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \bar{\delta} \downarrow \\ J_G^\otimes & \longrightarrow & BSO_G^\otimes & \xrightarrow{\psi^k / 1} & BSO_G^\otimes \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\delta}$ is the snap from (3.13). Here the horizontal sequences are fibration sequences, and the maps $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ are the maps induced by α and β .

Since $\beta \circ \alpha$ and $\bar{\delta}$ are G -homotopy equivalences, a five-lemma argument on every fixed point set diagram for every subgroup H of G shows that $\bar{\beta} \circ \bar{\alpha}$ is a G -homotopy equivalence. As $\bar{\delta}$ is a G -homotopy-equivalence, the homotopy fibres of β and $\bar{\beta}$ must be the same, namely $\text{Cok } J_G$.

QED

References

- [AII] J. F. Adams: On the groups $J(X)$ – II. *Topology* **3** (1964), 137-171
- [A] M. F. Atiyah: Bott Periodicity and the Index of Elliptic Operators. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **19** (1968), 113-140.
- [AS] M. F. Atiyah and G. Segal: Exponential Isomorphisms for λ -rings. *Quart. J. Math.*, **22** (1971), 371-378.
- [AT] M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall: Group Representations, λ -Rings and the J -homomorphism. *Topology* **8** (1969), 253-297.
- [Br] G. E. Bredon: *Equivariant Cohomology Theories*. Springer 1967, LNM. 34.
- [BM] G. Brumfiel, I. Madsen: Evaluation of the Transfer and the Universal Surgery. *Class. Invent. Math.* **32** (1976), 133-169.
- [tD] T. tom Dieck: *Transformation Groups and Representation Theory*. Springer 1979. LNM 766.
- [El] A. D. Elmendorf: Systems of Fixed Point Sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **277** (1983), 275-284.
- [FHM] Z. Fiedorowicz, H. Hauschild, J. P. May: *Algebraic K-theory*. Proc. Oberwolfach 1980. Springer LNM 967.
- [Hu] D. Husemoller: *Fibre Bundles*. McGraw-Hill 1966.
- [K] K. Kawakubo: ΛG -Structure of G -Vector Bundles and Groups $KO_G(X)$, $KSp_G(X)$ and $J_G(X)$. *Osaka J. Math.*, **19** (1982), 695-715.
- [L] R. K. Lashof: *Equivariant Bundles*. Ill. J. of Math., **26** (1982), 257-271.
- [LMS] L. G. Lewis, J. P. May and M. Steinberger: *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*. Springer, LNM 1213.
- [MM] I. Madsen and R. J. Milgram: *The Classifying Spaces for Surgery and Cobordism of Manifolds*. Princeton University Press, 1979.
- [MC] J. E. McClure: On the Groups $JO_G(X)$ 1. *Math. Z.* **183** (1983), 229-253.
- [MR] I. Madsen and M. Rothenberg: On the classification of G -spheres 1: equivariant transversality. *Acta Math.* **160** (1988), 65-104.
- [S68] G. Segal: *Equivariant K-theory*. IHES **34** (1968), 129-151.
- [S70] G. Segal: *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*. Actes Congrès intern. Math, 1970, Tome 2, 59-63.
- [St] R. E. Stong: *Notes on Cobordism Theory*. Princeton, 1968.
- [W] S. Waner: *Equivariant Classifying Spaces and Fibrations*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **258** (1980), 185-105.